

## What Are *These* Sanctions For?

The Weasels' vengeful fury against the Iraqi people **for the crime of being freed by America** is still **increasing**:

diplomats who for many years have called for the sanctions imposed in 1990 in the wake of Iraq's invasion of Kuwait to be lifted are now calling for a delay.

*What?* So, let's get this straight:

- Saddam's in power: they want sanctions lifted.
- Saddam's deposed: they want sanctions to remain, until...

Until what?

They say the restrictions should remain until the **UN certifies** that Iraq is free of WMDs.

In other words the UN is still malevolently seeking **control**, still holding innocent people to ransom until it gets it, and still using its utterly unmerited jurisdiction over legitimacy as a weapon.

Remind us again – **what good** do these bastards do?

Fri, 04/18/2003 - 12:07 | [digg](#) | [del.icio.us](#) | [permalink](#)

## UN

What good does the UN do? It provides legitimacy for the policies of the US and the UK sometimes. If it does no good, why do they keep sucking up to it? They need it, they's why.

by a reader on Fri, 04/18/2003 - 13:00 | [reply](#)

## legitimacy

Many Americans, and even more Brits, have jumped on to the "legitimacy" bandwagon, eager to proclaim the pure altruism inherent in any proclamation made by a sophisticated European, who understands the importance of "transnational cooperation" and "international law". That is one big reason why politicians who know better find it expedient to suck up and pay lip-service. They don't have to mean anything by it, but why incur needless wrath from

weasels at home? The UN only confers "legitimacy" to the extent that people around the world believe in the fantasy. Currently, our best shot at discerning the \*actual\* legitimacy of a country is whether or not the US supports it consistently.

by [Daniel Strimpel](#) on Fri, 04/18/2003 - 22:44 | [reply](#)

## UN 'Legitimacy'

To make things even clearer. The UN is an unelected and unaccountable body that sponsors terrorism. There is no sense in which its opinions are any kind of determinant of what is morally right.

by [Alan Forrester](#) on Fri, 04/18/2003 - 23:15 | [reply](#)

---