

Why Doesn't Jimmy Walter Take Jimmy Walter Seriously?

Jimmy Walter is a millionaire and a **conspiracy theorist** who thinks the US government was responsible for the 9/11 attack. He is annoyed because people **don't take him seriously**:

"I am a patriot fighting the real traitors who are destroying our democracy. I resent it when they call me delusional," he said.

His second mistake is to try to solve this problem by offering \$100,000 to the first engineering student who can show that the World Trade Center collapsed in the manner described by the government. Walter said that the contest would be judged by a panel of expert engineers. He imagines that nobody will manage to win and so he will be vindicated.

Now, before we consider that, we have to wonder why anybody should take Mr Walter's ideas seriously when Mr Walter himself does not? Why do we say this? Mr Walter does not believe the government's story, but there is no reason why one has to believe it in order to test it. The laws of physics and chemistry governing the behaviour of towers and aircraft are fairly uncontroversial. So a decent team of engineers could run a computer simulation, build scale model, and so on, of how *the government said* the crash happened. If the towers don't fall down during this test then it would constitute a prima facie criticism of the government's explanation of the events of 9/11. If his panel of engineers is competent to judge candidate explanations for 9/11 then surely they ought to be able to come up with such a test themselves. So why doesn't Mr Walter simply hire his own panel of engineers to conduct the relevant test and see what happens?

The **National Institute of Standards and Technology** is conducting tests to understand exactly how the World Trade Centre collapsed. So the US government is taking its own **explanation** of what happened on 9/11 seriously and is trying to understand what happened in more depth in order to make future attacks less damaging.

So why does Mr Walter does not take his own explanation as seriously? We guess the reason is this: His explanation requires the

US government to have organised a vast conspiracy that works

perfectly to deceive the American people. He arrived at this nonsense not by contemplating problems of engineering but because he dislikes Republicans. He dislikes them for having confidence in Western institutions, which he lacks. Mr Walter **prefers** the doctrines of **environmentalism** and **socialism** to those of the free society that allowed him to become a millionaire. The US government's explanation just requires the existence of some very evil enemies, which is rather uncontroversial, plus government complacency and incompetence, which are never in short supply.

Update: Check out **this** debunking by *Popular Mechanics* of several such conspiracy theories.

Tue, 12/28/2004 - 12:10 | [digg](#) | [del.icio.us](#) | [permalink](#)

A Non-Problem Seeking a Non-Solution?

The towers collapsed because of structural members weakened by high heat melting steel. When internal structural parts of the building collapsed above, the forces of mass, momentum, and gravity brought about the rest of the disaster. Has Jimmy ever built a house of cards? The house of cards becomes more unstable the taller it is built. Structural members such as toothpicks and glue need to be added to keep it standing.

A panel of engineers would only complicate the problem. There's always wind shear and pigeon droppings to account for. No expensive computer simulation is necessary. Non-Problem solved. Mail the 100,000 to the Editor, but convert quickly to Euros before the dollar drops further.

by a reader on Tue, 12/28/2004 - 15:14 | [reply](#)

jimmy walter

Im a journalist in Manchester England. Jimmy Walter is organising truth about 911 conferences all over the UK including Manchester at the moment. His web page is linked to some anti-semitic conspiracy theories. Is he an anti-Semite.

If so, please, urgently send me web site addresses. I need to get his meeting stopped.

Estelle

by a reader on Tue, 05/31/2005 - 18:43 | [reply](#)

Answers for those not paying attention

Here's some info that will hopefully open your eyes, especially to the posters from 12/28 and 5/31.

Regarding Jimmy Walter taking Jimmy Walter seriously:

The reason he doesn't hire his own engineers to do the research is for PUBLICITY. He honestly doesn't care whether or not he gives away the prize. What he wants to do is get lots of engineers (and others) thinking about the issue.

Regarding a Non-Problem:

Fire didn't cause the towers to collapse. Look carefully at some of the pictures of the holes caused by the "commercial" airliners. You'll see people in the holes. How hellishly hot could those impacts have been, if people survived to go and stand in the impact sites? What's more, is that the remainder of the towers were officially undamaged. Why, then, did they crumble like dust, if the rest of the building hadn't been bombarded by airliners as well? In case you still don't have your thinking caps on, I'll answer the above questions. The crash sites weren't very hot at all, and not capable of melting steel. The remainder of the towers crumbled because they were demolished by explosives from the inside.

Regarding Estelle's post:

What's more important - the fact that an anti-Semite (assuming he is) wants to have meetings, or the likely chance that portions of the US government caused 9/11 to happen?

Please think critically readers, and don't blindly swallow what the mass media feeds you.

by Free Thinker on Sun, 06/12/2005 - 06:02 | [reply](#)

this is a ridiculous essay.

This is the first I'm hearing about Jimmy Walter and from this angle the man's a hero.

All this essay consists of is fingerpointing and namecalling. "Jimmy Walter is a conspiracy theorist. Whine whine whine. The Bush/Cheney axis never lied to ME! I'm disturbed now. Someone tell Jimmy to stop."

THE U.S. GOVERNMENT WAS THE ONLY INSTITUTION THAT COULD HAVE GIVEN THE GREEN LIGHT TO ALLOW 9-11 TO HAPPEN. Tenet had been working on it since before Bush was even elected, so don't accuse those who have more information than you of being "anti-Republican"; we know this is far deeper than just Republicans.

This essay is all the more annoying because of the smarmy, condescending attitude of the writer. As if he were the "smart" one who KNOWS the Bush mafia is telling the truth, and Wilson and everyone who's thinking about 9-11 for themselves is _____ (insert insult here). Is this what you mean by "idiotarian"? I define "idiotarian" as "idiotic pseudoliberalism" which is certainly an accurate characterization of this site as I read more and more of it!

by a reader on Sat, 12/03/2005 - 22:20 | [reply](#)

Idiotarians are, roughly, the

Idiotarians are, roughly, the people who side with our enemies, but do not themselves wish to hurt us.

-- Elliot Temple
<http://www.curi.us/>

by **Elliot Temple** on Sat, 12/03/2005 - 22:32 | [reply](#)

the reason Wilson is having a

the reason Wilson is having a contest rather than just hiring some engineers is because then theoretically the results are unbiased. personally i would have spent the \$100,000 to assist some of the victims' families to hire an ace investigator and public relations firm.

accusing Wilson of being a socialist - labelling him one just for 9-11 skepticism - that's IDIOTIC. and look at Estelle labelling him an anti-Semite for the same "crime". look i have no idea what Mr. Wilson is up to but the way you two leapt to those conclusions is WEIRD!

what's wrong with environmentalism? factory smoke is not a sign of progress! and i do not see where believing that there was government involvement with the crime makes one a socialist - if anything the fact that the government sees itself as able to murder us at will is a huge reason to OPPOSE a strong central government!

I'm scanning the NIST report - thank you for that link - but my bet is that just like the congressional 9-11 inquiry it will take the concept that "fires brought the towers down" as a holy grail and do everything possible to make the data fit that conclusion - and tilt most of its work towards "preventing this from ever happening again!" to which i must riposte that a discussion of prevention should include "never allow republicans anywhere near the intelligence or foreign policy apparatus" and "throw as many of the people with foreknowledge of 9-11 in jail". that of course includes bush, cheney, and everyone surrounding them.

Fires from jet fuel did not bring WTC7 down, because no jet ever struck it. The collapse patterns exactly match that of controlled structural demolition. There is video showing the explosions starting at the GROUND FLOOR and working their way up the building. The second plane did not even hit the towers head on, spilling most of its fuel out into the sky in the form of a fireball. If you GO to ground zero, even today, you will feel as I do certain that this event was engineered by the American military-republican complex.

by a reader on Sat, 12/03/2005 - 22:48 | [reply](#)

nice people

by the way, you all seem like nice people, and we are all on the same side here - not left, not right, just Americans who want justice

for 9-11 - and i genuinely appreciate the thought stimulus this site

has offered.

i just have done a lot of investigating myself, autonomously, not influenced by any -ism, simply having not bought the official story for one minute, and nothing i have read in your defense of the "official" story has caused even an iota of doubt in me that the 9-11 disaster was sold to us fraudulently as the actions of Osama bin Laden by the truly guilty - Cheney, Tenet, Rice, Bush etc.

believing these things hopefully does not give aid nor comfort to the pathetic specimens who are suicide bombing innocents in israel and iraq. i choose to believe that if we americans see through the 9-11 lies that we'll throw the murderers in office into prison and maybe elect someone who can REALLY lead us out of the bush armageddon matrix.

by a reader on Sat, 12/03/2005 - 22:57 | [reply](#)

Just My Thoughts Regarding 9/11

I've read on and on about conspiracy theories regarding various aspects of 9/11. I'm inclined to believe that hi-jackers did take control of the planes that crashed into the WTC, the Pentagon and the field in Pennsylvania. And I don't believe that the planes had any kind of "missile" or any other kind of alteration.

What I do believe is that the powers that be (And I don't necessarily mean specifically the President - plausible deniability) knew for an unknown period of time that attacks were going to take place on September 11th, 2001. My guess is that they had a general sense of what was going to take place, but didn't have enough information to stop it BEFORE THE PLANES LEFT THE GROUND. That statement is important. If the government knew who the specific terrorist were that were getting on the planes, I truly believe that they would have stopped the attack. Instead, the terrorists managed to get on the planes and get off the ground.

Now here is where I think the "conspiracy" comes in.

I DO think that, once the planes were hi-jacked, that they were allowed to hit their assigned targets, and here's why:

Imagine the media fiasco that would have been generated if the planes never hit their targets, but instead were shot down by our own military over American soil...American neighborhoods. Think of it: civilian airliners shot down, killing everyone on board and countless others on the ground, by our own US Military, authorized by our own Government.

There would be no evidence of the terrorist's plans, other than there intentions to hi-jack. Up until September 11th, the only thing we knew hi-jackers were capable of was killing passengers and asking for safe passage to the country of their choice.

If the planes were shot down, then there would be no horrific images of the towers collapsing to rally the nation together.

Instead, the government would have to spend the next several

years validating their reasons for shooting down four civilian aircraft and killing hundreds of Americans. The GOVERNMENT would be made to blame for the tragedies on September 11th, and NOT the terrorists.

Instead, the "powers that be" allowed the planes to hit their targets. The situation, while horrific, does wonders for the Bush Administration, and rallies the country behind it's president, giving him and his administration it's best poll numbers of Bush's presidency. In addition, it gives the government the motive it needs to pursue their oil interests overseas with full backing of the American public and even the Democrats.

...something to think about. Somewhere between what is thrust in front of us and what is absurd...is the truth.

by [swellfoop2002](#) on Sat, 01/28/2006 - 00:31 | [reply](#)

Loose Change - Watch It!

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-8260059923762628848&q=loose+change&pl=true>

Click on the link

by Anonymous on Thu, 04/27/2006 - 03:54 | [reply](#)

Jimmy Walter

Jimmy Walter has increased the prize to \$1M.
<http://reopen911.org/>

Yet still no one has claimed the prize. If the official story is so simple, why not? If one can simply enter the information into a computer simulator and watch the buildings go down why haven't they?

To answer your question, why can't Jimmy Walter pay his engineers to tell him how the buildings collapsed? The answer is this, Fima shipped all the evidence (Steel can be examined to see why it broke, eg. pressure, explosives, heat etc) to china to be recycled as soon as possible. The government concealed the evidence and then told the media who was to blame, without letting an independent investigation take place.

Of WT7 the commission had this to say:

"Loss of structural integrity was likely a result of weakening caused by fires on the 5th to 7th floors. The specifics of the fires in WTC 7 and how they caused the building to collapse remain unknown at this time. Although the total diesel fuel on the premises contained massive potential energy, the best hypothesis has only a low probability of occurrence. Further research, investigation, and analyses are needed to resolve this issue." (Chapter 5, pg 31.)

So the commission can't even speculate how WT7 collapsed (they

made an attempt with building 1 & 2), yet Jimmy Walter should be able to hire engineers to do so?

Again: "the best hypothesis has only a low probability of occurrence".

As to say Jimmy Walters dislikes Republicans, I think this is a stretch. I see no evidence of this at his website at all. Most people who support the 911 truth movement believe the problem to be deeper than Republican vs. Democrat. Yet if I was to elaborate into theories on Bohemian grove and the new world order (which are speculation not fact) then I would be labeled a crazy tinfoil turban wearing conspiradroid, and none of the facts that I have put forward would be addressed.

- Molten hot iron was found at the base of the twin towers, jet fuel or office supplies don't burn hot enough to melt titanium reinforced steel, or normal steel.
- William Rodriguez, the last man to be pulled from the rubble, an eye witness and hero of September 11th reports hearing bombs going off. He even reported one blast in the basement before the first plane hit.
- Marvin Bush was head of security at the world trade center up until Sept 10th 2001, possibly allowing bombs to be planted.
- The list goes on check out Physics911.org infowars.org 911truth.net letsroll911.org etc

Peace!

by a reader on Fri, 05/05/2006 - 14:51 | [reply](#)

anti-semitism

He's not an anti-semit, he's an anti-zionist ... that's practically the same thing as an anti-fascist ... he is not anti-jew, he's anti-zionism, that's a small, but leading group of Jews who have rather different beliefs than Judaism, they believe they're the chosen ones and must do anything to reach their goal, even if they have to kill "inferior" people ... it's a far-right sort of thinking ... very narrow-minded, tunnelvision like philosophy which leads to self-destruction anyway ...

by a reader on Wed, 11/08/2006 - 09:56 | [reply](#)

Too much evidence

There is far too much evidence to avoid the conclusion that other foul play, aside from the airplanes crashing into towers 1 and 2, occurred on 09/11/01. The largest single piece of evidence is the collapse of wtc7. You can find photographs of the building, with two or three small well contained office fires, no broken windows, yet the building collapsed to dust. The leaseholder said, in an interview on public television, that they decided to "pull" the building.

So, why are there, have there been, no subpoenas?

by a reader on Sat, 05/05/2007 - 22:46 | [reply](#)

Let's not call the man by the wrong name, please.

His name is Mr. Walter. Mr. Jimmy Walter.

The good man is trying in every which way to get the truth out about 9/11. His theory is beyond valid, his argument well-researched...and yet so many refuse to take him seriously. If we, his supporters, err in these most basic details, if we aren't even capable of referring to him by his given name, we risk giving those who openly insult him one more weapon: "The whole thing is foolish: his supporters don't even know his name!".

Mr. Walter is doing something incredible...let's not ruin it more than it is already being ruined.

Thank you.

by a reader on Tue, 07/17/2007 - 09:47 | [reply](#)

Government Cover-Up? More like "How Many"

Simply, if the Bush administration has nothing to hide, why are they hiding EVERYTHING. They deny, lie, obfuscate, take the fifth, fire whistleblowers, make threats. There are literally thousands of examples since 911. If they are not hiding the truth, what the hell "ARE" they doing, lying for fun and playing cat and mouse games with congress and the American people? This charades ceases to be Rep. vs. Dems. We should unite as Americans that want to protect "OUR" freedoms, "OUR" constitution, and the lives of "OUR" soldiers from those who want to exploit "ALL" of "US" for their greed, power, and agenda. The only way to win as PEOPLE is to stick together and agree to disagree on some issues, however, we all need to stay focused on the The larger picture as well. This is not the first time weve been lied to as a nation to cover up the real motive for war (see Gulf of Tonkin Resolution) initiated by LBJ however, this just might be the broadest lie ever perpetuated on our poor souls. Lets get fair, remove political bias, and ask the hard questions that remain troubling. I believe there are those people that do not want to know the truth about 911 or cannot begin to accept even the possibility of such treason and some that are just closed minded and politically hypnotized into believing they are "unpatriotic to even ask questions". I'm sure the nazi's felt the same way about their "fatherland" but look at what coward's they really turned out to be. Hmm. Homeland-Fatherland. The Nurenberg trials illuminated how disturbingly passive the masses became to atrocities. The routine acceptance of torture, police state tactics, military dominance. It is so ironic this administration fights so hard for the very freedoms it wipes out daily in the name of fighting terror. Its all so familiar. Lets lose our petty bias's, shake hands, and get together for "OUR" country's sake. United we stand, divided we fail or something like that. Not your either with us or go straight to hell or something like "that".

by Jacqueline Cloud on Sun, 08/19/2007 - 15:46 | [reply](#)

Copyright © 2007 Setting The World To Rights