

Perhaps the UN Should Send In Some Saddam Inspectors

White House correspondent: Given that the main excuse for attacking Iraq was its alleged possession of a so-called 'SHD' (Saddam Hussein the Dictator), and given that Coalition forces have now reached as far as the heart of Baghdad without finding any SHDs, is the Coalition at all worried yet?

Senior Administration spokesperson: No. The regime is skilled at concealing its SHDs.

Correspondent: But if no SHD is ever found, will the US Government itself have any legitimacy left?

Spokesperson: [*sigh*] Yes. But in any case, we have overwhelming evidence that an SHD exists and we are confident that it will be located and rendered harmless.

Correspondent: OK, you have found palaces, statues, intercepted television broadcasts ... but isn't it true that you have as yet found no 'smoking gun' *proving* the existence of SHD?

Spokesperson: Why would a regime spend fortunes on palaces if not to house its SHD? Also, we have found all the trappings of a classic SHD such as torture chambers.

Correspondent: Couldn't they have some other, innocent use?

Spokesperson: It occurs to me that I have no firm evidence that *you* exist. On the whole you seem very implausible.

Correspondent: Oh, I exist all right.

Spokesperson: Too bad.

Tue, 04/08/2003 - 08:26 | [digg](#) | [del.icio.us](#) | [permalink](#)

Iraq : An opportunity to reform the UN

- 1) If the UN was an Union of Democratic States no one could deny it a role in post-Saddam Iraq
- 2) Syria - an unelected dictatorship - is on the security Council How can the UN make sensible resolutions in these circumstances?
- 3) UN as a humanitarian organisation ought have a strong role

- provided the US/UK monitor what staff it sends [e.g. no corrupt Arabs/Russians]

check out www.baghdadskies.blogspot.com

by **andy** on Thu, 04/10/2003 - 13:25 | [reply](#)

Democratic States

Does France get to be in the hypothetical Union of Democratic States? If so, how would a Union of Democratic States be any better at solving problems than the current UN?

by **Sentient** on Sat, 04/12/2003 - 03:06 | [reply](#)

It would be better, but it would still suck.

Does France get to be in the hypothetical Union of Democratic States? If so, how would a Union of Democratic States be any better at solving problems than the current UN?

It would be better, but it would still suck.

David Schneider-Joseph

President, **Americans for a Society Free from Age Restrictions**
Chief, **Tewata**

by **DavidSJ** on Sat, 04/12/2003 - 06:10 | [reply](#)

Why?

It would be better, but it would still suck.

What would make it better?

by **Sentient** on Sun, 04/13/2003 - 09:01 | [reply](#)

Because

What would make it better?

As much as France sucks, surely it's not as bad as the many dictatorships in the U.N., like Lebanon and Syria, etc.

David Schneider-Joseph

President, **Americans for a Society Free from Age Restrictions**
Chief, **Tewata**

by **DavidSJ** on Sun, 04/13/2003 - 19:31 | [reply](#)